

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/986,613

Applicant(s)

SATO, TOMOYA

Examiner

Maureen M. Wallenhorst

Art Unit

1743

**All Participants:**(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst(2) Todd Rands (Appl. Rep.)**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 5 January 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 9, 13, 16, 22, 24, 37, 39, 41, 42, 43, 45, 47, 52-55

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Various minor changes in the claims were agreed upon in order to provide further clarification and to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Maureen M. Wallenhorst

MAUREEN M. WALLENHORST

PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1000 1700

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)